Serial No. 10/623,877 Group Art: 3742

## **REMARKS**

In response to the Office Action dated March 9, 2004, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration.

The Examiner noted that the application is identified as a divisional application but appears to be a continuation. Applicant has cancelled without prejudice all original claims except claims 31-35, which are the claims of Group III as specified in a Restriction Requirement dated September 16, 2002 for U.S. Patent Application Ser. No. 09/910,287, from which the present application claims priority. Therefore, Applicant believes the present application is correctly identified as a divisional application.

Claims 1-39 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-25 of U.S. Patent No. 6,624,390. Claims 1-30 and 36-39 have been cancelled without prejudice rendering the rejection of these claims moot. As suggested by the Examiner, Applicant is concurrently submitting a Terminal Disclaimer disclaiming the patent term beyond the term of the '390 patent. Thus, Applicant asserts that the double patenting rejection of claims 31-35 is overcome as suggested by the Examiner.

Applicant has added new claims 40-44. No new matter is introduced by these claims and Applicant believes these claims to be allowable.

Based on the foregoing, this application is believed to be in allowable condition, and a notice to that effect is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to call the Applicant's Attorney at the number provided below with any questions.

Shane H. Hunter, Esq.

Registration No.41,858

Attorneys for Applicants

Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris,

Glovsky and Popeo, P.C.

One Financial Center

Boston, MA 02111

Telephone 617/348-1765

Customer Number 30623

Date: June 4, 2004